Introduction to Lidar

Christopher Crosby UNAVCO / OpenTopography

(with content adapted from NCALM, David Phillips (UNVACO), Ian Madin (DOGAMI), and Dave Harding (NASA))

SCEC VISES Workshop, ERI, Tokyo, Sept, 18-20, 2013





LIDAR / LiDAR / lidar / ALSM ... = light detection and ranging

 Billions of of accurate distance measurements with a laser rangefinder

• Distance is calculated by measuring the time that a laser pulse takes to travel to and from an object.



Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)

Same technology, different platforms:

Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS)

- Also called ground based LiDAR or T-LiDAR.

Laser scanning moving ground based platform = Mobile Laser Scanning (MLS).

Laser scanning from airborne platform = Airborne Laser Scanning (ALS).





UNAVCO

Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)









System:	Spaceborne (e.g. GLAS)	High Altitude (e.g. LVIS)	Airborne (ALS)	Terrestrial (TLS)
Altitude:	600 km	10 km	1 km	1 m
Footprint:	60 m	15 m	25 cm	1-10 cm
Vertical Accuracy	15 cm to 10 M depends on slope	50/100 cm bare ground/ vegetation	20 cm	1- 10 cm Depends on range which is few meters to 2 km or more



Lidar data collection



Surface Point Spacing



Scan line spacing, swath width, spot size and overlap can all be defined as necessary to achieve target data to specification

Each laser pulse can produce multiple consecutive measurements from reflections off several surfaces in its path

Ian Madin, DOGAMI



Left = point cloud view of the tree in the photo on the right. Each point is colored by which return it was from a particular pulse:

- Red= 1st
- Yellow = 2nd
- Green = 3rd





Lidar = Geodesy and signal processing

Typical Lidar Data Collection Parameters

- Aircraft: Cessna 337 Skymaster
- Personnel
 - One pilot, one operator in plane
 - GPS ground crew (2 to 10+ people)
- Scanner:
- PRF:
- Flying height:
- Flying speed:
- Swath overlap:
- Ground truthing: GPS
- Navigation solution: KARS
- Point spacing: sub-meter
- Nominal Accuracy (on open hard and flat surface)
 - Vertical: 3 6 cm.
 - Horizontal: 20 30 cm.

Optech near-IR (Gemini) 33-125 KHz 600 – 1,000m AGL 120 mph 50% nominal GPS (campaign & CORS)





Lidar Acquisition Considerations

- Target identification and prioritization
- Defining collection scheme and data product requirements
 - Tradeoffs concerning resolution vs. coverage
 - GPS ground control requirements
 - End use: geomorphology, geodesy, etc.
 - Cost
- Seasonal constraints "Leaf off", snow, heat, etc.
- Data volume...lots of TB's
- Standard data products?
- Distribution scheme?

3. Processing

- GPS data processing and trajectory generation
 - Kinematic software (KARS, TRACK, etc.)
- LiDAR range processing and XYZ point cloud generation
 - Proprietary software (Terascan, Optech...)
- Point cloud classification:
 - Typically completed with proprietary software (Terascan).
 - Limited open source / free software available to "do it yourself".
 - Not fully automated significant manual intervention necessary.

5. Data Delivery

Data typically arrives on HD from vendor.

Deliverables:

- Point cloud (ascii or LAS)
- Bare earth and first return DEMs
- Data mosaics at lower resolution (e.g. 1 m vs 0.5 m)
- Metadata (XML, machine readable if lucky)
- Report of the survey PDF, human readable





Deliverables - DEMs

DEM Data:

- Bare earth and first return DEMs in tiles (1 km x 1 km, USGS ¼ quad)
- Hillshades of above DEMs
- Mosaics at lower resolution
- Intensity images

• File Formats:

- No standards
- Common: Arc ESRI binary grid, ERDAS .IMG, GeoTiff, ascii grids, Surfer .grd, etc.

Deliverables – Point Cloud

• X,Y,Z + attributes:

- Attributes: GPS time, Intensity / RGB, return #, classification (ground, vegetation, other), swath ID
- All return files:
 - Organized into tiles (1 km x 1 km, subset of USGS ¼ quad) or by swath
- File Formats:
 - ASCII (.txt, .xyz)
 - Easily parsed (linux painful on Windows), portable, HUGE, need to move to another format for on-the-fly analysis.

x,y,z,gpstime,intensity,classification,flight_line 560149.82,4108410.91,-14.54,331709.549800,5,2,9 560149.54,4108410.78,-14.04,331709.549800,5,1,9

Deliverables – Point Cloud II

File Formats:

- LAS (.las)
 - Standard format (at v. 1.3) defined by ASPRS (American Society for Photography and Remote Sensing).
 - Binary smaller, easily parsed and indexed with correct libraries (libLAS)
 - Standard...
 - Robust header
 - Scanner info, processing software, spatial coordinates, bounding box, # of points in file
 - Requires software that can read and write LAS
 - More restrictive in terms of what attributes you can add
 - LAS vs. fully populated LAS still need to output all the attribution
 - Version 1.3 supports waveforms (kinda...)

Item	Format	Size	Required
X	long	4 bytes	*
Y	long	4 bytes	*
Z	long	4 bytes	*
Intensity	unsigned short	2 bytes	
Return Number	3 bits	3 bits	*
Number of Returns (given pulse)	3 bits	3 bits	*
Scan Direction Flag	1 bit	1 bit	*
Edge of Flight Line	1 bit	1 bit	*
Classification	unsigned char	1 byte	
Scan Angle Rank (-90 to +90) – Left side	char	1 byte	*
File Marker	unsigned char	1 byte	
User Bit Field	unsigned short	2 bytes	

ASPRS Standard LIDAR Point Classes

Classification Value (bits	Meaning
0:4)	
0	Created, never classified
1	Unclassified ¹
2	Ground
3	Low Vegetation
4	Medium Vegetation
5	High Vegetation
6	Building
7	Low Point (noise)
8	Model Key-point (mass point)
9	Water
10	Reserved for ASPRS Definition
11	Reserved for ASPRS Definition
12	Overlap Points ²
13-31	Reserved for ASPRS Definition

Deliverables – Metadata

- Report of the Survey:
 - PDF format (human readable)
 - Data provider, area surveyed, when surveyed, instrument used, processing software and methods, spatial coordinates and datums, know issues, etc.
 - Spatial reference framework
 - Data provider's report on data quality
 - Naming, formats, spatial organization of data files

FGDC (or similar) metadata:

- XML (machine readable)
- Ideally populated by vendor and client
 Not delivered by NCALM...



UNAVCO LiDAR Campaign Yellowstone, Wasatch and Alaska Fault Systems

(July 9 - August 4, 2008)

PROCESSING REPORT

Canopy Height (ft)





What is ground?

Three assumptions:

Can be used to guide automated processing approaches

- 1. Ground is smooth
 - despiking, iterative linear interpretation algorithms
- 2. Ground is continuous (single-valued)
 No-multiples algorithm
- 3. Ground is lowest surface in vicinity
 - Block-minimum algorithms

Ground is smooth \Rightarrow despike algorithm

Approach:

1. flag all points as ground

2. repeat:

- build TIN (triangulated irregular network) of ground points
- identify points that define strong positive curvatures
- flag identified points as not-ground
- 3. until no or few points are flagged

Start with mixed ground and canopy returns (e.g. last-return data), build TIN

Flag points that define spikes (strong convexities)

Rebuild TIN

Flag points that define spikes (strong convexities)

Rebuild TIN

R. Hagerud, USGS

A

Flag points that define spikes (strong convexities)

Rebuild TIN

Despike algorithm

Benefits:

- It works
- It's automatic
 - Cheap(!)
 - All assumptions explicit
- It can preserve breaklines
- It appears to retain more ground points than other algorithms

Despike algorithm

-0

Cross-section of highway cut

Problems:

- Removes some corners
- Sensitive to negative blunders
- Computationally intensive
- Makes rough surfaces
 - Real? Measurement error? Misclassified vegetation?

In the real world...

- Almost all return classification is done with proprietary codes (Terascan the standard)
- Successful classification uses a mix of
 - Sophisticated code
 - Skilled human
 - To adjust code parameters
 - To identify and remedy problems
- Let somebody else do it! then carefully check their work
- We have no useful metrics for accuracy of return classification

Do it yourself:

Open Source - Automated:

- LASTools lasground.exe & lasclassify.exe
- MCC-lidar (Evans & Hudak, 2007) http://sourceforge.net/apps/trac/mcclidar/



BCAL lidar tools (requires ENVI): http://bcal.geology.isu.edu/tools-2/envi-tools

More discussion: http://www.opentopography.org/index.php/blog/detail/ tools_for_lidar_point_cloud_filtering_classification#comments

Open Source - Manual:

LidarViewer (KeckCAVES)

When Automatic Classification Goes Wrong: Dumay Slip-Rate Site, Enriquillo Fault, Haiti

This data set was processed quickly for assessing urban area, not faults

Manual Classification in 3D Cave Dumay Slip-Rate Site, Enriquillo Fault, Haiti

Manual classification practical for small areas using a 3D environment



Questions & Comments:

ccrosby@sdsc.edu

@OpenTopography

Facebook.com/ **OpenTopography**

OpenTopography

High Resolution Topography Data and Tools www.opentopography.org

Contraction of the local division of the loc



